

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/719,380	11/21/2003	Eric L. McRobert	586330/00002 2558		
;	7590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER		
Michael T. Smith, Esq.			PEDDER, DENNIS H		
Steptoe & Johnson, PLLC P.O. Box 2190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Clarksburg, WV 26302-2190			3612		
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				·~				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/719,380	MCROBERT, ER	IC L.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Dennis H. Pedder	3612					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□		— s action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers	-						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examinative The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examinative Theorem 1.	are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obtained.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National	l Stage				
Attachmen	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
3) X Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date 1/21/2003.	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/719,380 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 4-7, 17-20, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 3. Claims 4-7, 17-20, 26 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

There is no disclosed mechanism of selecting as claimed. There is no disclosure as to how members 248, 228 have any interaction with the remainder of the invention to enable these claims.

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the details of claims 4-7, and 17-20 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing

Application/Control Number: 10/719,380 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Oath/Declaration

- 5. A new oath or declaration is required because ***. The wording of an oath or declaration cannot be amended. If the wording is not correct or if all of the required affirmations have not been made or if it has not been properly subscribed to, a new oath or declaration is required. The new oath or declaration must properly identify the application of which it is to form a part, preferably by application number and filing date in the body of the oath or declaration. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.
- 6. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

Application/Control Number: 10/719,380

Art Unit: 3612

The priority document is incorrect.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-2, 8-9, 12-15, 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Savell.
- 9. Claims 1-3, 8-9, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hudson.
- 10. Claims 1-3, 8-12, 14-16, 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lecomte.

See lift arms 31, 29.

11. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Palisano et al..

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Misono is cited to show a hydraulic actuator for a lift door. Hoffman, Baum et al.

Art Unit: 3612

and Ham are cited to show lift doors with filing between applicant's priority document and date of filing of this application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

> > 11/16/04

DHP 11/12/2004